NATIONALISM TODAY
The Radical Voice of British Nationalism
30p

JOE PEARCE

POLITICAL PRISONER

NATIONALISM TODAY
The Radical Voice of British Nationalism

EDITOR: NICK GRIFFIN

ASSISTANT EDITORS:
JOE PEARCE
NICK WAKELING

Contributors:
Steve Brady
Jim Capes
Paul Comben
Paul Deacon
John Field
Martin Webster

Nationalism Today exists to reflect a cross-section of contemporary British nationalist opinion. It is published by N.T. Press and editorially it supports the National Front.

Unless specifically stated to the contrary, the views expressed in signed articles or letters are the sole responsibility of their authors and do not necessarily represent the views of the Editors or the policies of any political organisation *Nationalism Today* may support editorially.

The appearance of any advertisement in *Nationalism Today* is not necessarily indicative that the Editors have any knowledge of, interest in or support for the product, service, organisation or function advertised.

The Editor is pleased to receive manuscripts of articles from readers for possible publication which should normally be no longer than 1,250 words and typed in double spacing. No payment is made for articles published, which become *Nationalism Today* copyright unless authors specifically request otherwise at the time they submit their manuscripts. The Editors reserve the right to shorten or otherwise amend articles accepted for publication should shortage of space or editorial judgement require such alteration to be made.

Those wishing to re-print articles from *Nationalism Today* must first gain the permission of the Editors and undertake to include with the re-printed matter the author's name and the name and address of *Nationalism Today*.

EDITORIAL

Issue No.8

IT'S OUR FLAG

As Timothy Raison is one of the Tory party's most accomplished traitors, his latest attack on the National Front comes as no surprise.

Responding to the inane utterances of a member of the Anglo-Asian Conservative Society, Raison accused the National Front of "degrading" the Union flag.

It may have escaped the notice of pathetic creeps like Raison, but the Union flag is not the unique possession of a small clique who sit at Westminster. The flag of our nation is the symbol of our identity, and throughout the ages great British heroes have fought and died to defend it.

If anyone has the right to wave the flag, it is the NF, who, alone among British political parties today, cherishes that concept of national and racial identity above all else.

Unlike the Tories, our flags do not sit gathering dust between party conferences.

Timothy Raison – and those like him – should also be reminded that their flag, to which they give their total allegiance, is not the Union Jack.

Rather, theirs is the banner of the International Capitalists in Wall Street, wherein reside the destroyers of all nations.

LICENSED TO KILL

21 YEAR-OLD Satbachan Singh Dhanjal was fined £75 for possessing an offensive weapon after West Ealing police saw a lethal dagger strapped to his shoulder.

Now the Sikh community wants a change in the law similar to that which allows them to ride motor-bikes without crash helmets. They say that as part of his religion, every Sikh has to wear a comb, turban, bracelet, underwear and carry a dagger. Any law which prevents this "interferes with religious freedom".

This is significant for three reasons. First, because it shows that all "good" Sikhs, like so many immigrants, regularly carry deadly weapons. Second, it is clear from the small number of cases that reach court that the police rarely attemt to stop them. Third, not content with this virtual freedom from interference, the Sikhs now want the legal right to walk our streets armed to the teeth.

Seen in the context of violent outbursts such as the anti-NF riots in Southall and attacks on young Whites in East London and Coventry, such a right to carry daggers and knives would be a license to kill.

HOME AFFAIRS

IMMIGRANTS AND IMMIGRATION

EVERY ONE A SCOT?

"THEY'RE BLACK, They're Brown, They're Yellow, but they've at least one thing in common... EVERY ONE A SCOT!" That was the headline of a Scottish *Sunday Mail* article about race relations north of the border.

"Scotland has an impressive record in race relations," the article boasted. "In a year, only 35 complaints reported by the Commission for Racial Equality... compared with 800 in England and Wales."

The article was printed to coincide with a visit to a number of Scottish cities by the Pakistani Ambassador, Ali Arshad. He told the *Sunday Mail* that Scotland can handle race relations, and just to prove the point the *Mail* published a photograph of sundry 'Scots'. Their names speak for themselves: Nicola Bertolotto, Nafeh Fananapizir, Montsarrat Santandreu, Parveen Akhtar, Kinching Ip, Susan Singh and Ricardo Santandreu.

It is doubtful that most true Scots would consider any of the above as Scottish and one gets the impression that the *Sunday Mail's* boasts about "good race relations" will soon be silenced.

The fact is that there are *very few* immigrants in Scotland — far fewer than in England — and most Scots have still not felt the effects of a full-scale Black invasion. But when eventually Scotland is flooded in the same way as large areas of England are, then we will see the collapse of race relations north of the border.

The Scots are a proud people. They will not let their culture and country become submerged in an alien tide without putting up a spirited resistance.

ANTI-WHITE BIAS

LORD SCARMAN'S call for so-called 'positive discrimination' in favour of Black people has been taken up by one of Britain's top universities.

London University's Imperial College is to fill some of its highly competitive places with unqualified Blacks. Imperial College is one of the foremost science and technology institutions in the world. Competition to get into the college is very fierce with about eight students chasing every place. Normally good A-level science passes are required before applicants are even considered, but Blacks are to be accepted even if they have no A-level passes at all!

This scheme is bound to end up with well qualified White students being turned away while unqualified and educationally sub-standard Blacks are accepted.

This 'positive discrimination', or anti-White bias, has been condemned by Mr Nigel de Gruchy, assistant secretary of the National Association of Schoolmasters and the Union of Women Teachers.

He said: "We think it's unfair to take anyone below par on to a course. There have been so many cuts recently that university places are tight.

"If there is any disadvantage in any section of society then it should be combatted regardless of race. There must be lots of White kids in different parts of London who are socially deprived."

BLACK DOCTORS

THE WAY in which coloured medical staff have been lowering the standard of efficiency in the National Health Service was illustrated recently at a hospital in the West Midlands.

Bromsgrove Hospital has a high proportion of immigrant doctors who are relatively under qualified, and the West Midlands Regional Health Authority has recently become very concerned by the number of mistakes made by the staff at the hospital.

The latest instance of callous inefficiency occured when a baby girl became seriously ill after being injected with the wrong drug only hours after birth. The baby was injected with the drug Ergometrin which is normally used on mothers to reduce internal bleeding. She should have been given a dose of vitamin K1.

As a result, she was rushed to the intensive care unit at another hospital where she spent four days on a ventilator.

Other mistakes made at Bromsgrove Hospital include the case of the young boy who was nearly given the wrong operation, and the case of a woman who gave birth at home only hours after being discharged from the maternity unit.

This complete incompetence eventually led to death, when a baby was killed during a forceps delivery.

The secretary of the local community health council, Mr Brian Thomas, said that he had received a steady flow of complaints about the 350-bed hospital.

It is clear from the outrageous neglect at Bromsgrove Hospital that something is seriously wrong with the National Health Service. Revolutionary changes are needed if the NHS is to increase levels of efficiency.

Firstly, far more money must be made available by the Government in order to ensure that the best possible medical equipment can be purchased. Secondly, wages in the NHS must be raised to a practical level with the aim of inducing back to Britain many of the best doctors who have sought better paid jobs abroad. Finally, and by no means least important, immigrant doctors must be systematically replaced by better qualified, more efficient British doctors.

COP OUT

DAVID McNEE, Commissioner of the Metropolitian Police, in a pathetic attempt to preempt the Scarman Report, has been grovelling more than ever to the Blacks in Brixton.

Not content with ordering a 'low-profile' for his men in Brixton, whilst muggings and other crimes by Blacks continue to soar, McNee has offered 'Red' Ted Knight, leader of Lambeth council, £60,000 (of tax-payers money) to set up a youth club for the local Blacks in the Brixton area.

Needless to say, whilst ordering his men to be easier with the Blacks in case another bout of serious race riots break out, McNee has had no compunction in ordering his men to crack down on NF paper sellers and the stepping up of telephone tappings and mail interceptions of people who are opposed to the capitalist set up and the multi-racial nightmare that accompanies it.

ICENI BOOKS

Send a S.A.E. for our current list of rare and out of print Nationalist books and magazines to: Iceni Books, 253 Robin Hood Road, Tuckswood Estate, Norwich

BULLDOG

The monthly paper of the Young National Front. Send 25p for a sample copy to 50 Pawsons Rd., Croydon, Surrey, CRO 2QF.

HOME AFFAIRS

ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL

SDP — A BOSSES FRONT

THE SDP, the latest darling of the mass media, is quite anxious to portray itself as some kind of an alternative party – a party for the man in the street.

What it is not anxious to portray is its reliance on and subservience to, big business.

David Sainsbury, a Zionist big businessman, has been put in charge of its Finance Advisory Committee. It's his job to raise 1½ million pounds from big business.

To this end the SDP has hosted several business lunches and had a close meeting with the CBI in an effort to ingratiate themselves with the bosses. This all follows David Owen's flight to New York to hold a meeting with the sinister and influential Trilateral Commission.

The SDP says that it is "determined to be in nobody's pocket". Quite right – it's in the **hands** of the bosses!

"differerent worlds"

FORMER Westward TV boss Peter Cadbury, on receiving a £75 000 golden handshake after being ousted from his job as chairman, said: "If anybody thinks £75,000 is a lot of money, then we live in different worlds".

And it would appear that Mr Cadbury might have a point when his pay-off is compared with the redundancy payments of other top bosses.

Gordon Dunlop received £100,000 as a golden handshake from Commercial Union; Laurie Marsh received £125,000 from Lew Grade's Associated Communications; and Sir Brian Massey-Greene got an incredible £250,000 from the Oppenheimer owned Consolidated Gold Fields.

Indeed, if you happen to be one of the bosses who are in the pay of super-rich Zionists and multi-national corporations, then £75,000 may well be peanuts. But if, on the other hand, you happen to be a newly-married couple struggling to pay the rent in the working class inner cities, it's a different story altogether...

Oil companies poison the atmosphere

GOVERNMENT stupidity is poisoning our children. Lead poisoning causes brain damage, impairs intelligence and makes the victims irritable and restless. Yet the Government refuses to stop the oil companies using petrol additives which pour about 10,000 tons of lead particles into the air each year.

According to scientists and to a report by the Conservation Society, British children are suffering from an "epidemic of lead poisoning". In a number of inner city schools, lead levels are four times higher than the safety limit.

The Government is planning to reduce lead levels in petrol in a few years time, but refuses to take immediate action on the grounds that it would cost too much. The same argument was used in West Germany, where the cost of conversion to lead-free petrol was estimated at over £200 M. Nevertheless, it was done and the final cost was only £70 M. – a small price to pay for the health of an entire nation.

The money needed should, in any case, come from the multi-national oil companies who have made enormous extra profits at the risk of our children's future. That, however, is unlikely to happen under a government which owes its very existence to donations from Capitalist firms, or while Mrs. Thatcher's husband is a millionaire director of three companies owned by Castrol Oil.



Denis Thatcher, seen here *wearing a turban during a recent visit to India, is making millions while British children suffer from lead poisoning.*

BANKERS BONANZA

ALIEN economists like Milton Friedman have rushed to support Mrs. Thatcher's attacks on ordinary workers' wage demands. Yet neither Maggie nor her shadowy financial advisers have said a word against the outrageously high increases given to the bosses of the big Banks.

Sir Jeremy Morse, Chairman of Lloyds, recently received a 31% increase, pushing his salary up to £78,000.

Latest to benefit from the Establishment's "do as I say, not do as I do" policy, is Mr. Robin Leigh-Pemberton, chairman of Nat West. He has just had a 31% pay increase. Last year his salary rose from over £51,000 to more than £67,000 – a jump of over **£300 per week!**

"TAKEOVER"

The Midland bank recently got the official go-ahead from the U.S. Government for a £450 million merger – the biggest ever in banking.

The U.S. Federal Reserve Board gave its permission for the Midland to take a controlling stake in the Crocker National Bank of California – after more than a year of talks.

The Midland – Britain's third largest bank – will pay £326 million immediately with the rest phased over four years giving it a 57 per cent share in Crocker.

In practical terms it means that more and more money is being held in fewer and fewer hands – and that faceless alien bankers are getting an even tighter grip on a nation's money supply.

HOME AFFAIRS

NORTHERN IRELAND

THE THIRD FORCE

On Wednesday, December 16th a member of the Ulster Third Force spoke to Joe Pearce about the situation in Northern Ireland. The man, who was staying in London for a short while, asked not to have his name disclosed so, in keeping with his wishes, he is only refferred to as Graham in the interview which is published below.

Please note that the frequent references to "Protestants" in the text do not indicate any sectarian attitudes on the part of Nationalism Today, *or of any links between the National Front and para-military groups in Northern Ireland.*

NATIONALISM TODAY: The para-military organisation known as Ulster's Third Force has received a lot of publicity in the mass media. What made you decide to join the Third Force?

GRAHAM C.: To put it bluntly, I was sick to death with the lack of action from the security forces. Since the present troubles began in Ulster, more than two thousand people have been killed by the IRA and INLA, and nearly twenty thousand more have been injured. I, like most other people in Ulster, could see that the security forces were proving ineffective in their efforts to prevent further killings. I think the Government have sold Ulster down the river and they were doing nothing at all to stop the endless spilling of blood. Something had to be done and by forming the Third Force Mr. Paisley has actually done something. He has taken a constructive step in the struggle against Republican murderers.

NATIONALISM TODAY: We accept that the security forces have been prevented from destroying Republican terrorists because of the treachery of the British Government, but is a private army such as the Third Force really the solution to the problem?

GRAHAM C.: It may not be the whole solution, but at least it is a step in the right direction.

People in England are always horrified when they hear about para-military organisations and so-called private armies, but you don't understand the situation in Northern Ireland.

Michael Havers, the attorney-general, said that he would never live in his home again after the IRA had planted a bomb outside his London house. It's alright for people like him, he can get up and move out. But what about us? The Ulster people have to live with terrorism for twelve months of the year. We can't pack our bags and go.

I live in County Fermanagh, and where I live the people are constantly worried about the threat of Republican terrorism. A friend of mine, a part-time member of the UDR, was murdered in cold blood earlier this year. He was a really nice man, he was married and he attended church regularly. Yet he was gunned down in cold blood.

When this happens, when somebody you know is murdered, you are bound to feel angry. Sooner or later you are bound to retaliate.

The UDR are issued with .22 revolvers for their own protection. These are no good against the murderers, what they need are rifles or sten guns. But even then they couldn't carry their weapon with them all the time. They would still be vulnerable to Provo snipers.

So what is needed then, if the security forces aren't able to offer the Protestants adequate protection, is a Third Force — a proper armed militia that will actually take action against Republican murderers.

Most people in Ulster have had enough now. They are ready to fight. And if Mr. Prior doesn't like the thought of a Third Force in Northern Ireland then that's just too bad. The British Government have done nothing to protect Loyalists, so they can hardly complain when the Loyalists do something to protect themselves.

Thousands of Protestants are now uniting in the Third Force, and when the Protestants are united the Republicans have everything to fear.

NATIONALISM TODAY: The Third Force was started by the Rev Ian Paisley who also organised the Loyalist Day of Action in late November. Why do you support Mr. Paisley as opposed to other Unionist groupings, and do you consider Loyalist Day of Action to have been a success?

GRAHAM C.: The Day of Action was definitely a great success. The whole of Northern Ireland was virtually brought to a standstill. Belfast shipyard workers all walked out, so did the workers at Gallaher's factories. Ballymena ground to a complete halt, and the CBI admitted that, as far as production was concerned, the day was a write-off.

I support Ian Paisley because he is working for Loyalist Unity. The official Unionist Party are a complete wash-out. They haven't got a clue about how to handle the present situation. As far as I'm concerned they are just Westminster's stooges.

The sight of James Molyneux making a plea to the Queen to do something about the present situation is really pathetic. If he still believes that the Monarchy has any real power to dictate to the politicians then he must be living in cloud cuckoo land. Besides, Molyneux nearly wrecked the Day of Action by asking the Loyalists to call off their protest at the last minute. He was satisfied by the Government's decision to send 600 members of the Spearhead Battalion to Northern Ireland. I believe that the Government only sent those troops over in order to reinforce Molyneux and the official Unionists. It was an attempt to placate the Loyalists and to sabotage the Day of Action at the last minute. But most Loyalists have grown wise to the tricks of the British Government and they decided to support Mr. Paisley.

NATIONALISM TODAY: You mention the Spearhead Battalion which has recently been sent to Northern Ireland. In the last issue of Nationalism Today *we published a letter from one of our readers in Belfast who said that the Spearhead Battalion is only to be used if Loyalists start trouble. Do you think that the extra troops are being brought in, not to fight Republicanism, but to suppress any organised Loyalist resistance?*

GRAHAM C.: I would not be in the least bit surprised if the extra troops were used against the Third Force. I have grown to completely mistrust the motives of the British Government. They have consistantly betrayed the Loyalists and I see no reason to believe that this betrayal is about to stop.

NATIONALISM TODAY: As a member of the Third Force can you tell us what your attitude is, and what the attitude of Ulster people generally is, to Parties on the mainland such as the National Front which support the Loyalist Cause?

GRAHAM C.: There is a great deal of sympathy for the National Front in Northern Ireland. Most people recognise that the N.F. is the only party in England which support the Ulster Loyalists.

Your attitude to the Blacks is not understood by everybody in Northern Ireland, but I'm sure that if we had as many immigrants as you, most people would have the same views as the N.F.

NATIONALISM TODAY: What do you think the future holds for the Ulster Third Force, and what can the National Front do to help you in your struggle against Republicanism?

GRAHAM C.: More than anything else, the Third Force is an expression of the frustration, anger and determination of the Loyalist population. It will show the Government, not in words but in action, that the Protestants will not be intimidated and murdered withour retribution being dished out.

Michael Canavan of the S.D.L.P. complained that Mr. Paisley is using the Third Force to get Government action from Westminster. Of course he is! The Loyalists have got no action whatsoever from the British Government in the past, but the Third Force will make the Government do something. If they don't act, and act fast, then we are quite prepared to take our own action to destroy the enemies of Ulster.

The National Front can help by opposing IRA activity in England and by making life difficult for Republican sympathisers. You could be a valuable ally in our fight.

WORLD AFFAIRS

Suppose there was a country...

PICTURE in your mind a 20th century nation, with all the advantages of modern industrialism – but no industrial pollution. Absolutely none. A place where there are no foul oil-burning or coal-burning plants. A whole country with no chemical wastes dumped into its rivers.

Try to imagine the capital city of this country. Its streets are free of litter and rubbish. On a sunny day, the sky is crystal-line blue – not a dirty, choking brown like the Black Country or the Welsh coal and steel mining areas. At night, you can see every star in the heavens, unobscured by the crap that pours forth from the smoke stacks of factories.

If you are able to picture this land in your mind, do you know what you have?

You have Iceland, 1982. Iceland, where the average life expectancy is 73 years for men and 79.2 for women – the highest on the face of the earth. (Compared to the U.K. with life expectancies of 68.7 years for men and 76.5 for women, and the African nation of Uganda, with a national average of some-where around 12 years.)

Icelanders are the same as the White people of anywhere else. Racially, they are of almost exclusively Nordic stock: the island was settled by Danes, Norwegians and to a lesser degree the Irish.

Their lives are very similar to those of other peoples in Western Europe and North America. They eat basically the same foods. Only, thanks largely to their pollution-free environment, they are healthier and live longer.

Is Iceland a White people's Utopia? Sadly, it is not. Despite the long lives that they live, Icelanders too, lack a sense of spiritual direction.

Like their racial brothers and sisters in the U.K., Europe and North America, they have discovered that living solely for the material and sensual pleasures in life just isn't enough. As a result, they have contracted some of the same "soul-diseases" that afflict White people in other countries in which the dominant "ideology" (for want of a better word) is liberal democracy.

They have, for example, the highest rate of alcoholism in all Europe. This problem extends even to grammar school children, who consume a vile brew nicknamed "black death", which consists of pure alcohol flavoured lightly with caraway seed oil.

Likewise, the rate of illegitimate births is a whopping 25 percent.

But whatever problems it has, they should not detract from Iceland's great achievement of realizing a modern, yet pollution-free society. Its electricity comes from clean hydroelectric plants. It heats its cities naturally, with steam from within the earth. It has no potentially dangerous nuclear generators. And it has the longest life expectancy anywhere on this planet.

There is one other form of pollution that Iceland is free of, which we have not touched upon yet, although it is perhaps the most important of all. Iceland has virtually no non-White inhabitants, and hence is spared from the worst contamination of all: racial pollution.

It is entirely a White people's country. Non-Whites of every hue and colour have avoided settling in Iceland like the plague, partially because of its harsh, formidable northern climate, and partially because they are clearly unwanted there by the homogeneous racial community which comprises the little nation.

A White people's paradise? Not exactly – but the closest thing to it short of Social-Nationalism!

THE BLACK TIDE

The changing face of West Germany.

IN HUNDREDS of German cities and towns, entire neighbourhoods are being taken over by Asians, Southern Italians, Greeks, Turks and other Mediterranean peoples.

In contrast, the German Federal Office of Statistics said in July, 1981, that once again the native German population has dropped by 100,000 people compared with last year. In fact, West Germany now has the world's lowest birth rate – 1.25 children per couple.

While the German population shrank, over 300,000 new immigrants into the Federal Republic were registered from Southern Europe and Asia.

The immigrant's birth rate is 3 times that of the native Germans, resulting in local schools having as many as 50% of non-Germans attending them.

EEC LINKS WITH THE REDS

WEST GERMANY is within the tariff walls of the Common Market, yet treats trade with East Germany as 'inter-German trade'. Consequently, East European countries send goods to East Germany for finishing, labelling and packing, and then get them into the Common Market through West Germany without paying customs dues! So while the front door is slammed in the face of the British settled countries of the Commonwealth, the Communist bloc sneak their goods in through the back door, tax free!

SUBSCRIBE TO NATIONALISM TODAY

Subscription for twelve issues £6 (or £12 overseas). Just fill in the form below and send it to *Nationalism Today*, 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey.
Cheques/PO's payable to *Nationalism Today*.

NAME .....

ADDRESS .....

.....

.....

WORLD AFFAIRS

Churches fund Black terrorists

SINCE 1970, the World Council of Churches has given more than £2.5 million to Black terrorists and Marxist organisations throughout the world.

This year alone, the WCC's *"programme to combat racism"* has made grants totalling £320,000 to 47 "liberation movements", including £68,000 to SWAPO the terrorist gang which operates from Marxist Angola to make cowardly raids on isolated White farmhouses in South West Africa.

Closer to home, the supposedly "Christian" World Council of Churches has just given £5,000 to the Jewish Communist "anti-fascist" rag, *Searchlight*.

To its credit, the Salvation Army left the WCC last year in protest against it giving money to terrorists and baby killers. But the other British churches are still in the WCC, something worth bearing in mind if some dripping liberal clergyman asks you for a donation.

Whites flee Rhodesia

WHITE "moderates" in Rhodesia who thought that they could continue to live under Black majority rule are beginning to learn otherwise.

A series of harsh anti-White moves, including a ban on the export by emigrants of their furniture and household possessions, have led to a record number of Whites leaving the country.

Nearly a third of the entire White population of the country has been forced out since it became "Zimbabwe". Families are only allowed to take out a maximum of £625, even if they have sold their homes. Many hold furniture burning parties before they go, rather than leave their possessions to the Black government.

Many more Whites are expected to flee the country because of moves towards a one party state and the arrival of Communist North Korean troops to train ex-terrorists.

Meanwhile in South Africa, the government is stepping up its attacks on Whites who do not want their country to suffer the same fate. Two members of a "White commando" have been given vicious 19 and 52 year prison sentences for preparing to fight any move towards Black majority rule.

National Front News

A broadsheet published monthly in support of the National Front. Subscriptions only for 5 copies or more. 12 issues of 5 copies £8.00.
Reduced rates for bulk supplies given on request. Send 20p for sample copy now.

Capitalists prop up Black Marxists

UNDER Black Marxist rule the economy of Angola is in chaos. Before "independence" the territory, rich in oil, diamonds and coffee, was a net food exporter. Today when Black Cuban troops and Soviet, East German and Bulgarian "advisers" have replaced the Portuguese, food is desperately short. Cabbages cost £3 and a decent-sized portion of fish an unbelievable £60 officially, and much more on the black market, which actually is normally the only place they can be obtained. The water supply in the capital, Luanda, is frequently cut off and the shops are virtually empty of stock.

As usual with Communist states, only Western Capitalist trade prevents total economic breakdown and the collapse of the Marxist system. Britain exported £30 million worth of goods to Angola last year, and West Germany twice as much. Most of the foreign exchange currency to pay for these vital purchases of Capitalist goods comes from the Angolan Reds' principal exports: oil and diamonds. Most of the oil (95,000 of the 150,000 barrels per day) is produced by the Capitalist U.S. Gulf Oil Corporation guarded by Communist Cuban troops in the Cabinda enclave. And the diamonds are extracted by a State Diamond Corporation which is dependent for technical skills and marketing expertise on the South African/Anglo-American owned Capitalist de Beers Diamond Corporation. Indeed, it was pressure from Capitalist America which caused South Africa to withdraw military support from the anti-Communist UNITA troops in the 1975 Angolan Civil War, enabling the Reds to rule Angola in the first place.

So once again we have multi-national Capitalism resolving the internal contradictions of international Communism.

If you don't subscribe to their politics
Subscribe to OURS See Subscription Form on facing page

NICK GRIFFIN

"ALL GOOD NATIONALISTS?"

"IF ONLY we could sink our differences and get together." Such appeals for "Nationalist unity" have been heard recently over the last year. Most National Front members recognise the hypocrisy of such pleas, coming as they do from the very people who split our ranks in the first place. Rather fewer have yet understood that "unity" with such people is not only impossible but **undesirable** as well.

The gulf between us is not merely personal and tactical, but goes to the very roots of our ideology.

The most obvious condemnation of all this "unity" cant is the political record of its most fervent advocates. It was them, not us, who broke away. They are the wreckers.

Yet a glance at the squalid rags produced by an assortment of ex-N.F. splinter groups shows that they are now drifting together. With joint meetings, cosy debates and more intrigues than the Board of Deputies, all the little failures are teaming up for one big failure.

There is John Tyndall, the captain who fled to the lifeboat when he thought that his ship was about to sink under a sea of debts incurred under his leadership. Now to his dismay he finds that his own little vessel has been hijacked by a masonic Captain Hook and has more leaks than a kitchen sieve! His former command is doing much better without him. Yet we must, we are told, forget all this because he is, after all "a good Nationalist".

And then we have the aptly named Conmovement, a disgusting mixture of crooks, Conservatives and cranks. All, of course, "good Nationalists". This group has now collapsed after crushing electoral failures.

But the unholy alliance doesn't stop there.

The leaders of the "British Democratic Party" are also involved. Can we ever forget that these traitors stole two regional headquarters from the members of the National Front? But never mind, they are splendid "Nationalists"!

Recent splitters, splitters from the N.F.'s distant past, splitters from South Africa; the crooks, cranks and the N.F. throw-outs, they're all there. All "good Nationalists".

The whole spectacle reminds one of a group of cripples whose crutches have splintered and rotted away. As their handful of personal supporters drift off, they cling more and more tightly to each other, hoping that all their withered muscles between them will give them the strength to outrun our swift young athlete.

Good luck to them! At least they will not slow us down by insisting that we carry them over the finishing line!

And who knows, perhaps a Hollywood-style cult run by pompous little megalomaniacs in the best traditions of Tory reaction is the combination which will save Britain?

Perhaps! More likely the megalomaniacs, being somewhat self-centred, will be unable to cooperate and will quickly end up fighting amongst themselves.

There is no doubt about it, we are better off without them. These splits are the best thing that ever happened to the National Front.

URBAN SQUALOR: "Good Nationalists" are not fighting for this!

NOT NATIONALISTS

The call for "Nationalist unity" with such people is also absurd in another way. For they are NOT Nationalists.

"Nationalism" does not begin and end with opposition to Immigration. Many of our former colleagues, for all their talk about the Blacks, are no more Nationalist than Enoch Powell and Harvey Proctor.

To be sure, the rhetoric is fine: "Let's win our country back." But that's as far as it goes. Young Whites on our inner city front-line are asked to fight, perhaps to die, to rid Britain of the Coloured hordes. But then what?

Go back to work in the bosses factories? Go back to a tidier version of the same old System, designed simply to make bigger profits for the same old capitalists?

See the houses won back from the Blacks go instead to big private landlords under whom the tenant would have no rights whatsoever?

Mr. Tyndall would at least put **some** controls on the Banks (how extreme! The Tory C.M. with whom he seeks "unity" wouldn't dream of such "Bolshevism"). But he would not take matters to their logical and just conclusion: all the assets stolen by the Banks in their centuries old fraud must be confiscated and returned to the British people.

These political geriatrics boldly make rude noises about multi-national capitalists, but they grovel before "British" capitalism as if they were being financed by Pilkingtons, Hatfield's Steel or George Ward of Grunwicks

How pathetic! Is it really supposed to be any nicer to be the wage-slave of a "British" capitalist than an American or Jewish one?

No. The victory of these Tories would not be a victory of Nationalism but of Reaction. A victory not for the Britons who did the fighting, but for the life-style and property of the selfish upper classes and its reactionaries. The very people whose materialism and spinelessness allowed our rulers to drag Britain down into the gutter in the first place.

The betrayal of the heroic sacrifice of two World Wars would thus be repeated yet again.

REVOLUTIONARY MOVEMENT

This is not a matter of "personalities" or "minor policy differences". It is **fundamental**. The National Front is and must remain a Revolutionary Movement.

Our aim is not to tidy up and de-niggerise the present System. We are not and will not be a prop for collapsing Capitalism and liberalism.

We do not want "unity" with Reactionaries or Tories. Unity with a band of tactical and ideological cripples is of no use to us. We have different aims and a different vision.

We in the National Front aim to "nationalise" the British people, that is, to make **all** our people part of the British nation by giving a real stake in that nation. The individuals who make up our race must own and control their own houses, factories, farms and destinies.

This is the vision we fight for. This is the vision, the "Land Fit for Heroes", for which our people have fought in the past and for which they will fight again!

To the decent rank and file members in all groups who agree with this our message is simple: join us, for this is our ideal alone.

To those who disagree, our attitude is equally clear: you are not Nationalists but reactionary Tories. There can be no "unity" with you. We know where we are going and how we will get there. Don't get in our way!

BADGES, T-SHIRTS, LEAFLETS, STICKERS

A full range of Nationalist merchandise is now available from the National Front Information Service. Send 20p for full list to: 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey.

STEVE BRADY

Ulster points the way to White Victory

ULSTER is important to the Nationalist movement in Britain not simply because our fellow Britons there are under attack and it is our duty to aid them as best we can. It is important also, not merely to Nationalists in Britain but to our comrades throughout the White world, because a study of what has happened in Ulster since the mid-1960's provides a lot of information about how the situation here on the mainland and in other White countries may well develop in the future, and how such developments will affect our Movement. Ulster's past may well be Great Britain's future, and the future of many other nations.

For the Ulster situation is not so unique as it may at first seem. Substitute Blacks for Republicans and that situation shows important analogies with ours on the mainland and the situation in many other countries. We too have an Immigrant minority, owing no loyalty to the land in which they live, a land to which they are not fitted and in which they are not welcome. An immigrant minority alienated and constantly whining about "discrimination", a minority whose disloyalty, alienation, and resentment at what they feel to be an unfairly inferior position in society makes them ripe for exploitation by Communist subversives bent on wreaking havoc. And we too have a corrupt Establishment prepared to grovel to minority pressures to preserve the personal career ambitions of its hacks. So, as in Ulster before the "Troubles" began, in the rest of Britain – and in many other White countries too – we have a superficially quite peaceful lawabiding society, beneath the seemingly calm surface of which smoulders a powder keg of alien minority resentment, hatred and barely repressed violence just waiting for a lighted Red match to be applied to trigger off a cataclysmic explosion.

And, as in Ulster, there is a small and for the moment widely ignored and despised band of patriots who alone see the shape of things to come. For in Ulster in the mid-1960's men like Rev. Ian Paisley were not the acknowledged leaders of a million Loyalists they are today. They were every bit as electorally insignificant, as smeared, as shunned and derided as "extremist cranks", as persecuted and lied about by media tripehounds, and as seemingly far from power as the National Front is today. In proportion to the population amongst whom they lived, Ian Paisley and other Loyalists were no stronger in Ulster in the mid-1960's than the NF was in Great Britain at the end of the 1970's. They were then where we are now.

Yet they did not despair. They did not water down their policy to chase the will o' th'wisp of "respectability" – Paisley today says exactly what he has been saying for thirty years, no more and no less. Nor did they jettison controversial individuals – Dr. Paisley has been about the most controversial man in Ulster since he threw a Bible at Lord Soper at Ballymena horse fair in 1962 and led a Loyalist march into the Republican Markets area precipating the Lewisham-style Cromac Square riot of 1964, and indeed he has gone to jail for his beliefs just as NF members have.

Gusty Spence of the UVF is a folk hero to hundreds of thousands now, but when in 1966 he was sentenced to life imprisonment for executing an IRA leader in a valiant effort to head off their plans to begin their terror campaign, he was generally regarded outside a tiny Loyalist hard-core as a murdering nutcase.

Although some self-styled "Loyalists" vanished into obscurity by leading splinter groups into Official Unionist "respectability" or on the personal ego-trips of their "leaders" the real hard-core just kept on fighting. Despite media smears, bad votes and massed ranks of indifference they kept hammering away, year after year, with the same unwavering, hardline, radical message, warning of the coming IRA war as we warn of the coming race war, and with no larger an audience listening to them.

And one day their dedication was rewarded. For the IRA war did come to Ulster as the race war will come – is coming – to Great Britain. The day of crises they had long predicted dawned and, because they were known to have long predicted it; because they were known to have steadfastly held aloft the banner of Nation and People without trimming it to court short-term "respectability"; because they were known there as we are known here as the unflinching hard core of Majority resistance, those masses who had long mocked and scoffed instead hearkened and rallied to the Loyalist ranks.

Within six months of the first Republican riots in October 1968, Ian Paisley came a shock close second to arch-Establishment traitor Captain Terence O'Neill in his Stormont Bannside seat. Within twelve months Dr. Paisley had won that seat. Within two years, he was a Westminster M.P. Within three years, tens of thousands of Ulstermen were spontaneously forming themselves into Loyalist self-defence groups. Within four years, those groups had united and marched in uniform thirty thousand strong through the streets of Belfast. Tens of thousands of "Tartan gang" skinheads had paraded past cheering crowds who once would have dismissed them as "yobboes and hooligans" to join 120,000 Loyalists (equivalent proportionately to five million Great Britons!) in a mass rally in Belfast's Ormeau Park. Within six years Loyalists had won control of the trades union movement and used it to force the Westminster Government to back down after the first successful General Strike in British history. Within ten years, Ian Paisley, topping the poll in Ulster's Euro-election, had proved himself unquestioned leader of a million Ulstermen, and in thirteen years Province-wide local elections had proved that his Loyalist Democratic Unionist Party enjoyed overwhelming popular support. If it wasn't for Westminster's abolition of Ulster's local Government, the Loyalists would by now have risen from nowhere to rule the Province.

Can we doubt that our dedication, if we do not falter, will likewise be rewarded? Like the Ulster Loyalists, we in the NF have endured many years of despised obscurity. But, as they did then, we have got our name across – the people may not yet rally to our standard but they know where it is and what is inscribed upon it. And, when the Race War and the socio-economic crisis comes to Great Britain as the "Troubles" came to Ulster, we will rise as the Loyalists rose. As the Blacks riot, loot, burn and kill through the streets of mainland cities as the Republicans rioted, looted, burned and killed through the streets of Ulster's cities, as the Reds bomb and shoot as the IRA bombed and shot, so the people of the mainland will flock to the NF as their Ulster kinsfolk flocked to Ian Paisley, the DUP, and other Loyalist groups. And they will organise White defence organisations as the Loyalists organised Ulster defence organisations. One day we will speak for White Britain as the Loyalists today speak for British Ulster. For we will win as they have won! But when WE win, there will be no Westminster regime to deny us the fruits of our victory. For those fruits will be Westminster itself!

SPOTLIGHT ON POLICY

3) THE BANKS

BY JOE PEARCE AND NICK GRIFFIN

Q. The British Government and local authorities are heavily indebted to the banks. Billions of pounds which should be used to pay for better welfare services and defence are instead being handed to financiers as interest payments on massive loans. The burden of debt has become intolerable, what does the National Front propose to do about this?

A. The burden of debt will continue to grow while the power to issue the nation's credit remains in the hands of private bankers and other usurers. The banks are able to create "money" out of nothing merely by an entry on a ledger. By doing this they are able to lend many times the amount of money they actually possess. Yet this paper credit has to be repaid in full, plus interest, with **real** wealth. This process is economically disastrous, leading to inflation and unemployment. In addition, it is politically undesirable because it leads to the concentration of immense wealth and power in the hands of a small number of plutocrats.

In order to prevent these evils, the power to issue credit must be taken away from the banks and returned to State authority.

Q. I can understand that the right to issue credit should not be in the hands of private bankers, but how will credit be issued into circulation by the state?

A. The amount of credit issued by the state must always be equal to the amount of real wealth (goods, services and the immediate potential for growth) in the economy. If the amount of credit issued is less than the real wealth in the economy there will be deflation, or if too much credit is issued there will be inflation.

Having determined how much new credit is needed by the economy, it can be issued in a number of ways:

The government can use it directly to finance public services and capital intensive industries; or it can be loaned interest free to promising small business ventures; or a proportion of the credit needed can be issued to all members of the adult population in the form of a National Dividend.

Q. Yes I can see the advantage of doing this and agree that the banks should be stripped of their power to issue credit created out of nothing. But would you allow the banks to continue operating, merely lending out money that they actually possess?

A. No. Although this sounds superficially reasonable, a closer look at the implications of allowing private banks to lend out even real money will show that this is economically, politically and socially undesirable.

The credit creation swindle has made the banks the most powerful private force in the world; more powerful than the governments of entire nations. Even when this swindle is stopped, the banks will still have the vast wealth that they have accumulated over the years. This, together with the money currently deposited with them by customers, would still leave them in a position of enormous power. **Yet it is a fundamental principle of Nationalism that no sectional interest should be allowed to wield power in competition with the national government.** For this reason the right to lend or withold money for major projects cannot be left in private hands. If this were permitted, it could lead to top priority schemes being rejected and crippled by lack of money merely because, in the opinion of some secretive bank board, it is too "revolutionary" or not sufficiently "profitable" in purely economic terms.

Besides all this, the lending of vast sums of money at interest by banks is inherently harmful to the economy and extremely dangerous.

Q. Why?

A. It is harmful to the economy because interest payments on money loaned by the banks are inflationary. This is so because producers will have to include the cost of their interest payments into the price of their products.

As well as being inflationary the whole system is parasitic because it allows the bankers, without doing a stroke of productive work, to suck **real** wealth out of the hands of the producers and consumers. **This means that the people who actually produce the wealth haven't got the money to consume it, leading to under-consumption, unemployment and economic stagnation.**

Also, returning to the earlier point about powerful sectional interests within the nation, the vast wealth accumulated by the banks would make them immensely powerful even if their right to create credit out of nothing were withdrawn completely.

In any case all of the assets "owned" by the banks have been stolen from the British people as a whole through their fraudulent credit creation system. These assets include



The Bank of England.

vast areas of farmland, controlling interests in many industrial concerns and enormous property empires. Consequently, all of the assets which would be used as the backing for bank loans should not by rights have belonged to the banks in the first place.

It's as if a mugger stole your watch and then offered to lend it to you at an exorbitant hire fee!

Realising the injustice and absurdity of this, the National Front's last Annual General Meeting passed overwhelmingly the demand for: "The confiscation of all agricultural lands presently held by multinational companies, merchant banks, syndicates of speculators and all other capitalist formations. This must be done without any compensation to the institutions concerned, save that the interests of the individual small investor will be protected."

This should apply equally to the banks' ill-gotten gains in property and industry. **If a mugger steals your watch, you have a right to take it back!**

Q. Yes, I can see that allowing banks to loan money at interest is harmful to the economy but you also said that it was dangerous. Why?

A. It is dangerous because there can be no guarantee that the banks, at some later date, will not return to their old ways and lend more money than they actually possess.

In the short term they could do this completely illegally because it would be very difficult to check exactly how much money the banks possess or how much they actually create. Over a longer period of time, there is no doubt that bankers would involve them-

selves in political intrigue and subversion in order to overthrow the Government and put in its place political puppets who would give them back their powers to create credit out of nothing.

This was what happened in America. The War of Independence was caused largely by the colonists' resentment of the growing power of the Bank of England. This resentment sprang from the decision by the British Government in 1764, under pressure from the bankers, to make it illegal for the American settlers to issue their own credit interest free. After their victory in the war, the Americans enshrined in their constitution the principle that credit could only be created by the sovereign government.

As a result of this, America went through a prolonged period of continued expansion without incurring massive debts. But the banks were not happy with the restriction of their power. Their scheming to gain monopoly of the issue of credit culminated in a secret meeting at Jekyl Island in 1910, organised primarily by Paul Warburg of Kuhn, Loeb and Co. along with other powerful bankers such as Jacob Schiff and John D. Rockefeller Jr.

According to Frank Vanderlip, a Rockefeller man who was present at Jekyl Island, the idea of the Federal Reserve System was conceived at the meeting. Following this, the Federal Reserve Act was passed on December 22nd 1913 which gave the banks the power they had been working for.

Mr. Martin Larson, in his book *The Federal Reserve And Our Manipulated Dollar*, rightly stated: **"The Federal Reserve Act conferred upon a group of anonymous financiers the power to strangle our economy, exploit our citizens at will, and load our taxpayers with vast and perpetual debts."**

All of this shows the unacceptable danger of leaving **any** banks in private hands. Sooner or later they will succeed in overthrowing any restrictions placed upon them.

Q. So private banks are unnecessary and have got to go but what about their ordinary mundane functions such as the maintenance of private accounts?

A. Ordinary bank accounts can be more than adequately maintained by a state banking system. Such a system already exists in the form of the National Giro, which, because of the absence of charges to those who remain in credit and lower charges generally, is already better for the customer than private banks.

Q. So what you are saying is that private banks are parasitic institutions that fulfill many harmful, but no useful, purposes?

A. Precisely! The rule of the banks over our national economy has been an unmitigated disaster. Not until their dominion is ended will the British economy achieve any lasting prosperity. And not until the shackles of debt financing are broken will the British worker finally gain the freedom to enjoy the product of his own labour!

BACK ISSUES

Issues No. 1 - 5, 40p each incl. postage.
(Issue No. 6 sold out.)



Reagan declares war on the workers

WHILE Margaret Thatcher's Cabinet dithers over how to effect so-called "Trade Union reform" her great friend across the Atlantic, President Reagan, has sacked 13,000 air traffic controllers for having the audacity to go to strike.

In what is clearly an offensive against organised labour Reagan has overseen the fining, sacking and even the jailing of the air traffic controllers. However, the significance of this affair is that the strike has occured in the first place. It expresses a deepening hostility amongst American workers towards the Reagan administration, a hostility which culminated in 200,000 trade unionists taking to the streets in Washington to protest against cutbacks.

Organisers of the Washington protest said the aim was to let the Reagan administration know that its policies were hurting the working people.

"We are protesting against the Budget cuts, the cutbacks in social welfare and Government employment programmes, and the fact that this is a government of big business," said one unionist.

What with the sacking, fining and imprisoning of trade unionists and the repressive economic policies, it would not be difficult to draw comparisons between the reactionary regime of Reagan and the communist regime in Poland.

Hallelujah!

PRESIDENT REAGAN'S successful election last November threw up yet two more "pressure groups". One comprised clean cut, rich, fully computerised conservatives, who liked to call themselves the "New Right". The other were mainly Southern Baptists-hillbilly fire and brimstone churchmen, and they liked to call themselves "Moral Majority".

Both claimed some credit in getting Reagan in and some admittedly perverted liberal Senators out. Reagan even admitted that he would listen sympathetically to "those who helped to elect me". So just what would poor Ronnie hear?

The first thing to be said about the "New Right", or to give it its full blown title, "National Conservative Action Committee" (NIPAC), is that it espouses nothing new. It is no more than a collection of Monday Club type Tories. Sure they take a hard line on issues like capital punishment, no gun controls, abortion, strong defences, standing up to the reds, etc. But like typical Tories they want to retain the Capitalist "free enterprise" system (ie: keep the System free to sponge off the workers, whilst making itself powerful and rich). Like typical Tories they want to give aid to any Anti-"Red" government no matter how dictatorial and blood thirsty it might be (eg El Salvador). Like typical Tories they want to preserve the dog-eats-dog materialistic society.

Not once do they attack the powerful and vested interests of International Finance Capitalism or Zionism (not surprising when one counts the number of kosher conservatives in their midst). Nor does the "New Right" attack multi-racialism. In fact they just "love" blacks – as long as they are "good" Blacks that is.

"Moral Majority" (they too see themselves as conservatives) on the other hand, whilst "concerned" over such mundane issues as school prayers, abortion, homosexuality and other fringe issues, duck the real issues that affect America today.

On the race question, "Moral Majority" have no qualms about a Black president, would "love" a Black Pope and again absolutely "love" Blacks – as long as they are God-fearing, church-going Blacks.

And once when accused of that most heinous of crimes, anti-Semitism, they literally fell over themselves grabbing the microphone, to deny such *"vile"* accusations.

Reagan will not need to listen to cretins like these, he already agrees with everything they say! Both the new and improved Tories and the false gods have nothing to offer the White workers of America. Both support the "Great American Rip-Off System", and with that system they should be consigned to the dung heap.

MARLON BRANDO:

"I was mad at Jews in the motion picture business because they largely founded the industry ... You've always seen the wily Filipino, the treacherous Chinese, the devilish Jap, the destructive, fierce, savage, blood-lusting, killing buck, and the squaw who loves the American marshal or soldier. You've seen every single race besmirched, but you never saw the image of a kike. Because the Jews were ever so watchful for that..."

Interview in Playboy Magazine, January, 1979.

OPPOSE NUCLEAR POWER!

NICK WAKELING

IN HIS article *The Mighty Atom: Friend or Foe (NT* No. 6), Steve Brady argues that nuclear power is a clean, safe and almost providential solution to Britain's energy needs.

I do not share Steve Brady's view. **It seems to me that nuclear power has turned out to be a disastrously expensive and risky gamble from which, at best, we stand to gain little and, at worst, we stand to lose a great deal.**

When discussing whether nuclear power has a future in a Nationalist energy policy I believe we must take into consideration the following facts:

• BRITAIN'S ENERGY REQUIREMENTS

Steve Brady in his article asserts that we need nuclear power now. This is not the case. **Britain can already generate 35% more electricity than we need (70% in Scotland) even on the coldest day of the year.** If the present nuclear programme is completed it will – at best – contribute **only** 7% of our delivered energy needs.

• THE COST

Nuclear power propagandists originally claimed that nuclear power would be "too cheap to meter". But in fact it has turned out to be the most expensive form of energy supply.

The Central Electricity Generating Board refuses to release detailed costs claiming that it will "confuse the public". The House of Commons Select Committee on Energy recently criticised them for their abnormal accountancy methods stating that they have **"no clear idea of whether investing around £1,300 million in a single nuclear power plant is as cost effective as spending a similar sum to promote energy conservation."**

However, when working from what figures that have been released, and taking into account the cost of the high number of reactor failures and research into the apparently insoluble problem of nuclear waste disposal, it can be reliably estimated that the true cost of power from nuclear reactors is about 25% **above** that of coal and oil burning plants.

• THE RADIATION DANGER

The air around nuclear plants is not "crystal clear" as Steve Brady alleges. Leaks of radioactivity into the environment occur; **indeed British Nuclear Fuels routinely discharges huge quantities of the radioisotopes Caesium-137, Tritium and Krypton-85 into the atmosphere and the sea. This has resulted in the Irish Sea now being the most radioactive sea in the world. And a report in the medical journal** *The Lancet* **has revealed a doubling of leukaemia (blood cancer) rates in towns downwind from the nuclear plant at Windscale.**

Steve Brady makes much of the fact that coal-fired stations emit radiation in fly-ash comparable to that emitted from a nuclear power station. However, when all civilian nuclear power activities, including reprocessing, are taken into account we find that **nuclear power is seven times more polluting,** in radioactive terms, in comparable energy output than coal.

It is true that we receive natural background from cosmic rays from outer space and certain radioactive rock strata, and that we receive man-made radiation from medical and dental X-rays. But it is worth noting that there are marked health effects in areas that are naturally high in background levels; that mass radiography programmes are no longer used; that equipment once used in shoe shops to X-ray children's feet for fittings has been banned; and that it has been concluded that mass X-ray screening for breast cancer resulted in approximately 5% more cancers than they detected.

The facts of the matter are that scientists now recognise that there is no dose of radiation so low that there is no risk. It is important to realise that the effects of radiation build up from one exposure to another.

Radiation causes cancer. It can also produce a weakening of resistance to disease, genetic damage to future generations and sterility. In large doses it can kill within days, and lower doses can remain hidden for up to 30 years before the damage is diagnosed as cancer or leukaemia.

Radioactivity released into the air, waterways or sea is taken up by plants and animals and passes via the food chain into human beings. The full impact of this pollution can only be realised when the life of many of these elements is taken into account. Plutonium-239 – a substance created in nuclear reactors which concentrates in the liver and sex organs, causing cancer and genetic damage – remains dangerously active for **250,000** years.

• THE PROBLEM OF NUCLEAR WASTE

Radioactive wastes cannot be safely disposed of. The technology for total containment of radioactivity simply does not exist. Some nuclear wastes remain radioactive for years. Wastes stored in tanks at Windscale leak unstoppably and those dumped into deep oceans contaminate it. The really "hot" wastes are buried deep in the ground. **There is always the danger that they will contaminate the ground water eventually, thus creating a genetic time bomb.**

There is also the problem of what to do with nuclear reactors once they go out of service. Nuclear reactors have a lifetime of no longer than 30 years as maintenance costs begin to exceed the benefits of keeping the plant going. After shutdown the radiation emanating from the reactor is extremely high and will remain high even after 100 years. To deal with this problem the nuclear authorities plan to either dismantle the reactors and bury the parts in special repositories or seal the reactors in concrete. Both these methods are lengthy, dangerous operations which will cost in the region of £20 million to complete.

• THE SAFETY FACTOR

In common with all other industrial enterprises, nuclear power has its accidents. Already in Britain in the past year nuclear reactors have had to be closed down because of cracks, radiation leaks and design faults.

The most serious accident in Britain so far was the fire in Windscale No. 1 pile in 1957. By the time the fire was out an estimated 20,000 curies of iodine-131 had escaped into the atmosphere as well as other radioactive isotopes. According to official figures the released fusion products contaminated an area 500 square kilometres downwind.

Throughout the world there has been a multitude of accidents in reactors and reprocessing plants, some of which have led to reactors being permanently closed down.

Steve Brady may like to imagine that Three Mile Island never looked like hurting anyone but the fact remains that the U.S. Government at one stage planned to evacuate half-a-million people within a 20-mile radius; that nearly three years after the accident the structure is still full of radioactive water and gas and no one seems to know how long it will take to clean up; and that following the accident the infant mortality rate within a 10-mile radius doubled, and that thyroid abnormalities in babies is now five times the national average.

Steve Brady is also incorrect when he states that nuclear power has never killed anyone. During the last two years the British nuclear industry has settled claims, in and out of court, for damages to widows of men who contracted cancer whilst working at nuclear plants.

In nuclear reactors there may well be safety precautions on safety precautions, but like every other mechanical device things can go wrong, either because of technical error, human error or a combination of both. **No mechanical device is infallible. Aircraft are designed not to crash – but they do.**

Nuclear accidents have occurred and will continue to occur. It is not a question of *if* **but of** *when*. **And** *where*. **And how** *bad?*

In conclusion it should be remembered that if a reactor in Britain has a core meltdown, and if the winds are blowing towards heavily populated areas, hundreds of thousands will die from cancer because of radiation, and the contaminated area will have to be evacuated for years.

We are running the risk of this for a mere 7% of our delivered energy needs. Does it make sense?

Britain's continuing and expanding nuclear power programme is a dangerous and expensive folly. **The National Front must follow the example of Radical Nationalist movements in the USA and Europe and oppose nuclear power.**

Next issue: Non-nuclear energy options for the UK.

ONE WORLD NO THANKS

BY PAUL DEACON

THE UNITED NATIONS BUILDING.

A VAST planet-metropolis. A world-sized beehive teeming with eager workers, drugged to contentment. Workers with no faces, no identity, no race, no ambitions. Coffee-coloured morons, satisfied to be living in the big, happy democracy they call the Earth. Working, sleeping, eating, existing in the perfect harmony of a cemetery. This is the Great Dream of the One World. This is the future that we are all told we want to see and with which most people don't bother to argue.

But whose dream is it really? In whose interest is it to destroy all racial and national barriers and create the Great One World? No Nationalist will be surprised by the answer: Communists and Capitalists. The Communist dreams of a perfect, democratic world state, the everlasting bliss of total sameness. A world united in equality, drowned in mediocrity. The Capitalist dreams of a world where everyone has the same tastes, the same thoughts. A world where everybody buys the same goods at the same time. A world of robot consumers.

These two great forces therefore have the same eventual aim for the world. What, then, stops them from working together to achieve it? Certainly not ideology, for the trading links between the Soviet Union and Western Capitalists demonstrate that either will make a deal with the other if it is to their advantage. No, the answer is that **nothing** stands in the way of a united effort, and that in fact their joint campaign for One World has already begun. Many internationalist organizations, such as the United Nations, openly admit that they eventually aim to bring about the creation of a "united" world. The One World conspiracy is working all around us and one of its most deadly weapons is Equality.

NEW EQUALITY

Nobody could be unaware of the current obsession with Equality which has swollen so large as to obscure all reality. The concept of Equality is being used to break down the spirit of Western nations, to prepare them for the coming of racial destruction and assimilation into the One World. **Perhaps it should be explained at this point that the Equality (with a capital "E") that is preached by the One Worlders and the sheep that follow them is not equality in the original sense of the world. Social equality used to mean equality of opportunity which is a genuinely worthy cause. The new Equality, however, is merely the false dogma of sameness hiding behind an old and respected title.**

Rather than standing for the right of an individual to compete fairly with others, it stands for the ludicrous belief that every single human being possesses exactly the same abilities as every other human being. Many disciples of the new Equality will not even recognize the scientific fact of genetically inherited characteristics, preferring to see the cause of all individual differences as purely environmental. Neither will they tolerate any opposition to the Holy Order of Equality. Equality, they tell us, is Good. Anyone who denies this is Evil.

The effect this distorted thinking has had on Western society is already disastrous. The demented hysteria of Equality has led to demands that civilized nations give away any wealth they might earn to support corrupt Third World regimes, under the pretext of feeding their rapidly expanding populations. It has hampered the education of bright and slow children alike, through forcing them to learn at the rate of the "average" child, in the cruel pretence that their abilities are equal. Equality has also been used as an excuse for forcing Europeans to give up their homes, their jobs and their countries to the surplus masses of Africa and Asia. In other words the new Equality is destroying the fabric of White civilization and the racial and national spirit of its members. Which is exactly what it was meant to do.

POSITIONS OF POWER

This is obviously something that we, as Racists and Nationalists, have to fight. How to do so is a very difficult problem. Adherents to the new Equality and believers in the One World are everywhere in our society, many of them in positions of great power. The only way to defeat their conspiracy is to deprive it of the passive support, or at least acceptance, on which it feeds. It is up to us to show the public that there is an alternative to the dogma of Equality. If people could only learn to discern between true equality and the new Equality, a catastrophe could be avoided. If they could understand that a belief in inequality is not a rejection of civilization, but simply recognition of fact, they might begin to understand where the current obsession with Equality is taking us. For anyone who recognizes that all men are not equal cannot fail to see the hideous deception involved in a society which bases its outlook on the assumption that all men are equal. It is up to us to blow open the myth of Equality and show that it is no more than a weapon of the One Worlders.

We will not do this by keeping our thoughts to ourselves. We must be far more positive in our opposition to the One World that the Communist-Capitalist conspiracy is trying to force on us. For example, we should publicly protest at Britain's membership of the nauseating United Nations, we should be more vociferous in our opposition to International Big Business and Finance. In short, we must take much more positive and public action against Internationalism as a whole – against all institutions and organizations that are helping in the destruction of Britain and the creation of the One World.

By doing this we can draw the public's attention to the issue. We can point at the future of the One World and compare it to the future we want to see. We can give the people a choice between a world that is divided into the healthy and practical sub-racial groups we call nations and one that is united in a genetic disaster. We can offer them a choice for the future of their own country too. A choice between Britain as we want to see it and Britain as the One World conspirators want to see it. A choice between a proud, strong, independent nation and a very small part of a very large and very squalid global nightmare.

SUSSEX FRONT

Published independently in support of the National Front in Sussex. Subscriptions: £4 for 12 issues from SF Publications, 15 Morris Road, Lewes, Sussex. Sample copies 30p.

THE TURNER DIARIES

The controversial book from America about White Revolution. Now available in Britain from Dulwich Books, c/o Box 71, *Nationalism Today*, 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey. (Cheques/PO's payable to *Nationalism Today*). Price £2.00 including postage and packing.

NUCLEAR HOLOCAUST COULD KILL 16 MILLION

UNITED STATES forces in West Germany are being trained in integrated nuclear and conventional warfare in the belief that the U.S. and the Soviet Union might become involved in a tactical nuclear confrontation which would destroy large parts of Western and Central Europe, but leave America and Russia alone.

The fact that the forces of International Capitalism and International Communism could declare war on each other and then proceed to destroy large parts of Europe illustrates more forcefully than ever the need for the peoples of Europe to free themselves from the chains of both these evils. The British people owe no more allegiance to the Monopoly Capitalists of Wall Street than we do to the State Bureaucrats in the Kremlin. This point can be seen to be even more important when one considers the disastrous consequences of a nuclear attack on Britain.

According to Home Office estimates, there would be a total of about **16 million** "immediate" deaths in Britain following a major nuclear attack! Mr. Sidney Butler, deputy director of the Home Office's scientific advisory branch, told a meeting of the British Association for the Advancement of Science that the estimates were based on the basis of a nuclear attack involving about 200 megatons of explosive power.

Furthermore, the long term effects to the world as a whole are equally as horrific. According to two eminent Russian scientists, Professor K. Kondryetev and Professor G. A. Nikolsky, a full-scale nuclear war could lower the temperature of the earth by between five to ten degrees. This would happen because exploding nuclear bombs produce oxides of nitrogen. These affect ozone in the upper atmosphere and thus the amount of heat passing through to the ground. A drop in temperature comparable to that predicted by the scientists would leave Britain ice-bound for all but a brief summer.

It can be seen then that Britain stands to lose an awful lot if we are drawn into a war between the two "super-powers", and no-one can claim that either "American" capitalism or "Russian" communism are worthy of the sacrifice of 16 million British people.

If Britain is to avoid a possible nuclear holocaust we must act now to ensure that we aren't involved in global squabbles between alien evils. All American Cruise missiles and American military personnel must be withdrawn from British sovereign territory. Britain must withdraw from ALL internationalist agencies, including NATO, and we must take up a position of armed neutrality. Finally, we must at all times ensure that Britain is in control of her own destiny as National Independence is the only guarantee of Freedom!

BOOK SHELF

A LOOK AT THE LATEST READING

VODKA-COLA by Charles Levinson.

Vodka-Cola is a witty, amusing, thought-provoking, sensational book about East-West *detente*.

Charles Levinson strips off the mask of "cold war", which is everyman's newspaper headline, to show that trade relations between the "Vodka" block and the "Cola" front have flourished and boomed, even stimulated by U-2, Berlin Wall, Cuban Missile or Vietnam crisis.

We may think of *detente* as the outcome of political efforts to secure peace, but Mr. Levinson shows that *detente* has been a response to economic relations which reach far back beyond the overtures of Richard Nixon and Willy Brandt. Western investors discovered the advantages of Communist low-paid, strike-free labour as early as the Eastern bloc discovered its need for Western technology and investment. Together they developed a sophisticated system of buy-back agreements to side step non-convertible Eastern currencies.

"You bottle our Coke and we'll buy your Vodka" – *Vodka-Cola*!

The authoritarian *elites* on both sides operate an "Overworld" of organised conspiracy which mirrors the "Underworld" of organised crime. Richard Nixon even managed to bring the two together through his ties with Pepsi-boss Kendall and Mafia-boss Lansky. Levinson paints a witty and lively portrait of the money deals and trade agreements concocted by the Overworld financiers.

The gradual penetration of European Communist interests into the shareholding of Western companies echoes Western investment in the Communist block. Italy has spearheaded the development of Vodka-Espresso. Levinson shows that this convergence of Vodka-Cola institutions has been coordinated by various private foundations, which actually direct foreign policy.

The Vodka-Cola, Vodka-Espresso Overworld uphold *detente* as a positive convergence between East and West. It is certainly a convergence, but Levinson has amassed a convincing body of evidence to show that it is unlikely to bring greater personal freedom to the East or increased common ownership in the West. The Vodka-Cola cocktail is reinforcing authoritarian structures demanded by business efficiency on both sides.

All in all, *Vodka-Cola* is an invaluable addition to the collection of any Racial Nationalist who seeks greater understanding of the Communist-Capitalist axis.

Vodka-Cola is available from Nationalist Books, 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey, CRO 2QF. Price £4.95 plus £1 postage and packing. (Cheques/postal orders made payable to Nationalist Books.)

MARTIN WEBSTER

Trouble shooting

With this issue Nationalism Today *introduces Troubleshooting, a new regular feature by Martin Webster.* N.T. *welcomes Martin Webster as one of the regular contributors to the magazine, and it is hoped that Troubleshooting will prove to be both popular and informative.*

"POSITIVE DISCRIMINATION"

I hope everybody has enjoyed – as I have – reading the moral and logical contortions which polemicists for multi-racialism have been producing following the publication of Lord Scarman's report on the race riots which took place in Brixton and elsewhere this year.

"Positive Discrimination", it seems, "does not mean that members of ethnic minority groups will get preferential treatment in jobs, welfare, housing and the like. All it means is that special resources would be allocated to particular areas to meet special needs."

I'm sure we can all appreciate the difference!

While the polemecists have been waffling away about what Positive Discrimination might mean were the policy to be applied generally, they have carefully neglected to report on how the policy works in places where it is already implemented – places like the London Borough of Brent.

This north-west London borough is said to be the most multi-racial borough in London, with a third of the population being of West Indian or Asian in origin. In addition to these there are a "substantial but unquantified" number of Jews. None-the-less, White Gentiles still constitute the majority.

The long-standing grip of the Labour Party on the Borough Council has recently been jeopardised following defections of Labour councillors to the Social Democratic Party. Earlier this year Brent Labour Party ward associations experienced a sudden influx of large numbers of Asians, many of whom were said to be illiterate in any language, and some unable even to speak English.

These people, under the guidance of Trotskyite organisers, set about refusing to re-select sitting councillors for next May's round of Borough Council's elections.

The party's Old Guard (among whom are numbered well-known Zionists like Cllr. John Lebor, until recently leader of the Council's Labour group) realised that they had to take swift action to ingratiate themselves with the borough's various ethnic minority groups if they were to have a hope not just of retaining their grip on the Council but of the Brent Labour Party itself.

THE MAYOR'S "NIECE"

Their first move was to appoint an Asian Muslim as the Mayor of Brent, who in turn designated as Mayoress a youthful Asian lady who he described as his "niece" – a description subsequently questioned by scandalmongering guttersnipes.

Their next move was to push through a Council decision to waive the Council's statutory duty to collect a Rates debt of £22,000 which had accrued in respect of a warehouse building which had been purchased by the Hindu community for conversion into the biggest Hindu temple in Europe. This decision was tactfully announced at about the time the Council declared an increase in the borough's general rate.

The borough's Muslims were also beneficiaries of the Council's *largesse*. An application to convert a house in a residential area into a Mosque was granted despite opposition from more than 200 local residents and from the Council's own Planning Department!

It goes without saying that the Council's long-established policy of subsidising all manner of clubs and other facilities for West Indians proceeded as if the Council thought money was going out of fashion.

Brent's prosperous Jewish community is not in need of these kinds of electoral bribes, but of course the Jews could not be ignored at a time when goodies were being handed out to other ethnic minorities, as that would be racial discrimination of the worst possible kind. But what **could** the Council give them?

HORRIFIC "ANTI-SEMITISM"

Fortunately, help was at hand from the anti-"anti-semitic" witchfinders of the Board of Deputies of British Jews. It seems that an horrifically "anti-semitic" book – *The Easter Story* in the Ladybird Bible Books series for children – was on the shelves of Brent public libraries. This book, it seems, was sowing the seeds of another "Holocaust" because the account it gave of the death of Jesus Christ was faithful in every particular to the accounts of that event given by the Evangelists in the New Testament.

(According to the New Testament – the central books of the Christian faith – Jesus was put on trial before the Roman governor Pontius Pilate at the instigation of the Jewish Sanhedrin on the trumped-up and cynical charge that he was inciting a revolt against Rome. Pilate could find no guilt in Jesus, but he was intimidated by a riotous Jewish mob who demanded Jesus' blood. Pilate offered to release either Jesus or Barabbas, a convicted robber and murderer. The mob demanded the release of Barabbas and rather than risk a serious breach of Public Order, Pilate washed his hands of the affair and allowed Jesus to be led away for crucifixion as the crowd yelled "Let his blood be upon us!")

Because the Ladybird book was true to the New Testament the council decided to purge it from the libraries. For some reason the Council did not take the logical step of purging the New Testament from the library shelves along with the Ladybird book, as the New Testament is the source of the vicious "anti-semitism" – but, no doubt, that decision will come in due course if Christian leaders cannot be persuaded to dump the New Testament in the interests of good community relations, Ecumenism and as an act of atonement for the "Holocaust". Need I mention that Brent Council did not also decide to purge from the library shelves copies of the Talmud – one of the books central to Judaism – which contains many expressions of hatred for Gentiles in general and for Jesus and his followers in particular.

HIGH COURT INJUNCTION

All these decisions by Brent Borough Council were, by any standard, highly controversial and proper subjects for public protests – which is the reason why the Brent Branch Organiser of the National Front, Mr. Graham John, twice attempted to stage a march and a rally in the borough to protest against them.

Under pressure from Brent Council and the Board of Deputies of British Jews, the Commisioner of Police and the Home Secretary took action under the Public Order Act to ban the marches. When Mr. John and I announced that we would simply hold an open-air rally in place of the marches, Brent Council took the unprecedented step of instructing Counsel (Mr. Blom-Cooper) to rush before a High Court Judge in an *ex-parte* action to secure an Injunction against us forbidding us to hold the rally for fear of "violence" and "incitements to racial hatred".

Brent Council – and its backers in the Board of Deputies – are desperate to suppress a widespread public knowledge of its decisions which demonstrate that it pursues policies which are designed to pamper the ethnic minorities at the expense of the majority, even to the extent of insulting the religious sensibilities of the majority.

This, then, is what "Positive Discrimination" is all about. This is the reason why at the end of January we shall be applying to hold protest marches in Brent every Saturday from now until either the bans stop or until Doomsday. We will not stop agitating until the public has been sufficiently warned.

Read

HERITAGE & DESTINY

The British Racial-Nationalist cultural journal.

Issues 1–4: 50p each (post included)

Subscription: £3.00 for 6 issues

Cheques/postal orders to:

HERITAGE BOOKS, BCM 5766

LONDON WC1N 3XX

READERS' LETTERS

All letters to *Nationalism Today* should be addressed to The Editor, *Nationalism Today*, 50 Pawsons Rd., Croydon, Surrey, CRO 2QF.

NO INDEPENDENCE

Dear Sir,

In recent months there has been an increase in the number of people who are calling for an independent Northern Ireland. They use terms like "stalemate", "political vacuum", "need for a new initiative", and last ditch solution", among others. The Ulster Defence Association's new political wing has the avowed intent of working to establish a "negotiated independence".

These calls come chiefly from the (so-called) Loyalist side, aided by those on the mainland who know they cannot enforce unity, and see independence as the only way of ridding themselves of the Northern Ireland problem. On the Republican side the mainly Roman Catholic third of the population seem divided between loyalty to the Republic of Ireland on sentimental grounds, and the British welfare state which keeps many of them fed; knowing full well that in a united Ireland they would have a lower standard of living.

The successive British governments that have ruled since 1969 have bungled and mishandled the situation, allowing over two thousand people to be killed, many thousands to be injured, and countless damage to the economy to be caused. Against this background it is understandable that some people, feeling betrayed by Westminster and not wanting a united Ireland, see independence as the only solution.

But is it a solution? Let us look at some of the arguments put forward by the pro-independence lobby.

"Westminster is the target. Remove the target and the I.R.A. will have nothing to attack."

Nonsense! Those who believe that are woefully out of touch with reality. If Westminster were the target we would not see Ulster-born Policemen, Prison Officers, and soldiers of the U.D.R. murdered and maimed and civilians put out of work because their places of employment were destroyed. The men of violence are anarchic, i.e. they are opposed to all authority. They seek to promote civil unrest, knowing they cannot live and operate in a normal society. The objective of the I.R.A. is not merely a united Ireland, but a united **Marxist** Ireland. An independent Northern Ireland would make no difference to the security problem, and merely shows its advocates' lack of understanding that the violence is due, not to the old struggle of Orange versus Green, but to Communism.

"The British Government won't keep on pouring money into Northern Ireland."

Let me expose the nonsense of that statement on four counts:

1) If the Westminster Government did want to stop spending money in Northern Ireland, such a statement would merely play into their hands, as if urging a "lie down and take it" attitude.

2) Where does the British government's money come from? From the people, including those in Northern Ireland, so the idea of Westminster giving aid as they do to some Third World countries is fallacy, and deeply offensive to the people of Northern Ireland.

3) Do they envisage the Westminster government refusing to spend money in Yorkshire, or Cornwall? Of course not.

4) If the soldiers stationed in the province were withdrawn there would not be the huge saving that some might claim. The wages and expences bill would still have to be met.

Those who advocate independence are noticably vague on economic issues. If they mention the subject at all, usually under pressure, they reiterate the policies of the Tory, Labour, and S.D.P. parties, or offer grandiose schemes which make Arthur Hailey's book "The Moneychangers" look like simple arithmetic.

The pro-independents avoid talking of the British Commonwealth. They fail to make it clear, or are undecided on whether or not they would stay in or opt out, as if it were unimportant that Great Britain was once the major World power and now scarcely rates in the top ten.

So what is the solution? At the risk of appearing to over-simplify the problem:

a) We must wipe out the I.R.A. and end all Communist subversion in Great Britain as a whole.

b) The often quoted "guarantee" which states that Northern Ireland will remain a part of the United Kingdom as long as the majority there so wish it, must remain. There must be an immediate halt to all bargaining with the "kindly" Garret Fitzgerald and his Eire government.

To summarise: No to the I.R.A. No to Dublin involvement. No to independence. Yes to a Loyalist Ulster **within** the United Kingdom.

Yours faithfully,

John Austin, Belfast.

SOCIALISM

Dear *Nationalism Today*,

I have always considered myself to be a Socialist and, up until recently, was a subscriber to the policies of the Labour Party. However, I was always patriotic and have never considered myself to be an internationalist or a Marxist.

On reading your publication and, in particular, your economic principles, I felt compelled to write to you about the parallels which exist between yourselves and the true socialists in the early Labour Party.

The founding fathers of the Labour Party, and of British Socialism – Keir Hardie, William Morris (who translated Icelandic Viking sagas and wrote race-conscious tales of heroic fantasy), H. M. Hyndman (who backed the Ulster Loyalists in 1912 and opposed racially alien influences in the Socialist Movement), Bob Blatchford (who coined the slogan "Britain for the British" in 1902) and the rest – were British patriots who put the British working people's interest first. But ever since the Labour Party was hi-jacked in the early years of this century by a gang of cosmopolitan ghetto effluvia of a race aptly described by British Socialist and early Fabian Society activist G. B. Shaw as "the invader from the East, the ruffian, the Oriental parasite – in short, the Jew", that Party has ceased to represent the interests of British workers in any way.

Neither Viscount Stansgate, patronisingly inviting the "common herd" to call him "Tony Benn", nor Denis Healey's crony Harold Wilson, as a Labour Prime Minister inviting Lithuanian Jew millionaire raincoat racketeers and tax dodgers to take their seat in the House of Lords, can have been at all what Hardie, Blatchford and the other brave Socialist pioneers had in mind when they founded the Labour Party.

No, there can be little doubt that if these great founders of British Socialism could come back today they would spurn with contempt the Noble Lords and millionaire aliens who have taken over the Party they fought so hard to build. Instead they would join the one Party which holds aloft their British Socialist ideals of workers' power and Britain for the British. The Party that is the true heir of the British Labour Movement and the genuine, original Labour Party, is the National Front.

Yours sincerely,

Harold Grimsdyke, Barnsley, Yorks.

SOCIAL DEMOCRATS

Dear Sir,

It has been recently disclosed that the Rowntree Social Service Trust is to hand over £30,000 to the Social Democratic Party.

The Rowntree Trust whose assets, according to the *Guardian* newspaper, amount to £8,000,000 is to donate the money over the next three years to support the Party's M.P.s in Parliament.

Being a recipient of Rowntree's generosity is an honour the Social Democrats share with the overtly Marxist Labour Co-ordinating Committee, a pro-Wedgewood Benn pressure group. The Trust has in the past given succour to a whole host of Establishment favoured liberal/left organisations, from the Tory Reform Group to the Socialist Workers Party via the Anti-Nazi League.

No doubt Social Democratic Party founder and wine guzzler Woy Jenkins will fit in with any of Rowntree's Capitalist scheming. After all, he has always moved in the "best" European circles, counting the likes of the Rothschilds and Astors among his friends.

Yours faithfully,

Howard Hawksley, Merseyside.

Rite Up

CAUGHT ON THE HOP

Ngxekese Simeno, a construction worker at Malemsbury, South Africa, was given a two years suspended sentence for beating his girlfriend to death with his artificial leg while drunk. He said that he would never use the leg, which was broken in the attack, as a weapon again and was also finished with women as "they only cause trouble", Simeno's employers say that they will repair his leg free of charge.

Meanwhile in the African homeland of Transkei, a man was charged with murder after being caught carrying a human head in a shopping bag through the centre of town. Police said that he was going to sell it to a witch-doctor for use in magic potions. Such behaviour is not uncommon in Black-ruled Transkei; the chance of ending up as part of a "cure" for toothache or impotency is said to be "just one of the risks of hitch-hiking in the area".

NEWS SUMMARY

Rastas Banjo III, leader of Notting Hill's black intelligentsia, has announced the completion of his book on famous black scientists. For your copy, send 5p and a very small self-addressed envelope to: Mud Hut Enterprises, P. O. Box 2½, Notting Hill.

Rastas would also like to point out that the *"Pop-Up book of Black Classical Pianists"* will come out as soon as he's found one.

Mr. Ken Livingbone has spoken out in support of homicidal maniacs.

"We must understand that many of them are motivated by the same belief as politicians like myself" he said.

The Tories have brought out a new booklet to help party workers at the next General Election.

It's called *"How to lie through your teeth"* and includes a section on advice for the Unemployed.

Subjects include: *"What to do in Consett on £19 a week"* **and** *"The Unemployed: knowing your place in Society."*

Mr. David Pain, head of the Commission for Jewish Supremacy, has announced plans for next year's Black Youth Opportunities Project. Called "The Burning of Handsworth" it follows last spring's highly successful slum-clearing operation in Brixton.

This time, however, it is hoped that the Police won't turn up and interfere!

MR & MRS

TIM WEBB

ALL sorts of strange and peculiar people feel obliged to join the Anti-Nazi League. But few are as strange or peculiar as the person who currently goes under the name of Mr. Timothy Webb.

Mr. Webb, a 41 year old unemployed lorry driver, is the secretary of Kings Lynn and West Norfolk Trades Council. Apart from being a member of ANAL, Mr. Webb is a committed Marxist and, naturally enough, a member of the Labour Party.

Three years ago he gained for himself some notoriety by demanding at a trade council meeting that the 10 people who nominated a National Front local election candidate be expelled from their trade unions.

Now Mr. Webb is back in the news again. For efforts are being made to oust him from the executive of the trades council, and from his position as manager of the local unemployed workers centre – because he is a transexual and is planning a sex change!

Mr. Webb coyly told trade council members that he is not going to remain Mr. Webb for much longer as "I am undergoing gender re-alignment therapy".

He/she/it added: "At some time in the near future I would be representing myself as a female rather than a male person."

This has upset some of his/her/its more prejudiced, intolerant and unsympathetic comrades, who have demanded that he/she/it be sacked from the trades council and as manager of the unemployed centre.

All of which is rather distressing for Ms. Webb as he/she/it has just bought a new dress to wear at trades council meetings!

THE DIARY OF REBECCA ICEBERG – REVIEWED FOR THE "JEWS OF THE WORLD" BY GERRY FABLE.



This is the diary of a young Jewish girl caught up in the savagery of post-publication disputes, hushed-up court cases and sexual passion. There is also a bit on surviving in Nazi Germany which forms the basis of this review.

Rebecca was ten when Hitler came to power. Her family, of humble origin, immediately hid themselves in a small room behind the gas oven, bereft of all save a few cherished possessions.

However, life soon became uncomfortable. People kept falling over the bars of gold, and in desperation, they had to burn some bank-notes in order to see.

Rebecca became bored with looking at her father's accounts books and her mother's jewellery, and decided to write a diary.

Her father pointed out that he'd already arranged for someone else to do that for her, but Rebecca insisted in writing her own version.

Her story is amazing and shows a marked improvement in her handwriting since she left school. She writes of war conditions in New York as if she actually lived there at the time!

Later, she describes how the evil SS walked through the room they were hiding in, but by a miracle failed to notice them!

Finally, she recalls the day her parents were dragged to the death camps where they were gassed.

It wasn't until 1948 that they were reunited at her father's villa in Nice.

After the war, just before her sixteenth birthday, Rebecca burnt her diary and forgot the lot – until today!

Yes! Almost forty years later, Rebecca found the oven she burnt her diary in at the bottom of the river Rhine! With the help of methods unknown to science, she pieced together the remains and gave them to the *"Jews of the World"*.

The diary, originally written on old lampshades, is now available in hardback at £30.

As a complement to the book, the *"Jews of the World"* is proud to announce the completion of a film called *"Emanuelle meets the Diary of Rebecca Iceberg"*.

Once again the *"Jews of the World"* shows that there are no depths we won't sink to, to bring you the news we want you to read!

Industrial Front

MORE STEEL CLOSURES

IN ISSUE THREE of *Nationalism Today*, following the closure of the Consett steelworks, we asked whether perhaps the British steel industry was being deliberately sabotaged. We asked the question because the closure of Consett was quite obviously pure economic madness.

Consett was one of the most efficient and commercially viable plants in the whole of Europe – yet still the Government decided to close it down. This closure of one of the few economically viable plants in Britain could only result in the further decline of the British steel industry.

But the Government's systematic destruction of the British steel industry did not end with the closure of Consett, because now the axe has fallen on another economically viable plant. This time it is the turn of the steelworks at Stocksbridge in Yorkshire.

The workers at Stocksbridge have mastered a process for making strip stainless steel from powder. This new process promises huge savings in steel-making. The old process of rolling steel from ingots takes three rollings, whilst the new "powder" process takes only one.

Seventy highly-skilled Yorkshire workers have mastered this process at least three years ahead of any other steel plant in the world. They were proud and delighted when British Steel last year announced that the new process was proved. They looked forward to turning their success into hard orders and hard cash.

It was then that the British Steel Corporation decided to "mothball" the plant, even though one of their spokesmen openly admitted that "it is a viable operation".

When British Steel close down their most competitive and commercially viable plants they are ensuring that the steady decline of the steel industry will continue unhindered.

So why are they taking such obviously disastrous decisions which can only, unless reversed, lead to the destruction of the whole industry?

To such a question there are only two possible answers. Either the management of the BSC are so incompetent that they are closing down the few economically viable plants in Britain, thus making the whole industry even less productive. Or else there is a deliberate plan to sabotage the whole British steel industry, leaving the way open for a German steel monopoly of the interdependent economic bloc which is the aim of the Common Market.

BOTH AS BAD AS EACH OTHER

THE PRESIDENT of the Bakers Union, Mr. Terry O'Neill, has told the Labour Party to stop blaming just Mrs. Thatcher for Britain's high unemployment. He said that Labour Prime Ministers such as Harold Wilson and James Callaghan had also presided over high jobless figures.

He told the union's conference in Bridlington that "too many union leaders and Labour Party leaders stand up at a conferances and shout "Thatcher, Thatcher", as though Margaret Thatcher had invented unemployment.

"The only thing that has happened under Mrs. Thatcher is that she has pressed the button that accelerated unemployment figures, which were already too bad under Labour governments."

In making this speech Mr. O'Neill was only reflecting the views of a growing number of people in Britain who believe that the Labour Party and the Tories are just as bad as each other.

The answer to unemployment will not come from the ranks of the Red Front or from the ranks of the Reactionaries; but from the revolutionary ideology of Racial Nationalism!

TGWU BOSSES SUPPORT CHEAP LABOUR

THE EXPULSION of 50 Filipinos from Britain has been strongly condemned by the Transport and General Workers Union.

The T.G.W.U. has called the expulsion "tantamount to repatriation" and they have succeeded in stopping all further expulsions.

This is yet another example of how highly paid union bosses have lost touch with the views and the interests of the ordinary workers.

Filipino immigrants are notorious for working for very low wages which would be unacceptable to the British workforce. They are being used to undercut the wages of White workers and to undermine the wage bargaining power of the unions themselves. It would therefore be in the interests of the trade unions and their members if **all** Filipinos were expelled – not just an insignificant fifty or so.

But nowadays the unions are largely controlled, not by British workers, but by politically motivated Marxists and by "Moderate" time-servers who both have vested interests in ensuring that more and more immigrants enter this country.

FOREIGN PARTS

IN ISSUE 6 we carried an article in **Industrial Front** entitled *New "All-British" Metro is 70% foreign* which detailed how 70% of the components of the Leyland car are imported.

Well it would seem that BL seem to make a habit of importing the component parts for their so-called British cars. Now they have also been accused of not buying British for their new Japanese-designed car, the **Triumph Acclaim**.

British car component makers claim that **82 PER CENT** of the parts in the Triumph Acclaim are foreign. The engine, gearbox, clutch, coil, alternator, distributor and indicator lights are all Japanese!

One angry components manufacturer said: "Everything under the bonnet seems to come from Tokyo."

The use of so many foreign-made parts at a time when many British component manufacturers are going through a hard time is completely inexcusable. British Leyland is a nationalised industry and, as such, it should be working in conjunction with other British companies. Instead, by importing so many foreign components, they are irresponsibly threatening many British firms with bankruptcy.

N.T. readers fight back

ONCE AGAIN we are pleased to be able to congratulate N.T. readers for their efforts in building the magazine!

Since publication of our last issue we have received a total of £113 in voluntary donations. This brings the total received since the Fighting Fund appeal was launched to £450.20. **We are now less than £50 short of our target!**

It goes without saying that we don't receive a penny from Big Business concerns, so we rely **completely** on the continued support of our readers. Please do your bit to help build the magazine, because without your assistance we will be unable to continue propagating the Racial Nationalist message.

Since publication of our last issue we have received donations from:

John Field, London E – £50; **D. R. Copeland,** Sussex – £16.50; **Ian Lister,** Fifeshire, Scotland – £5; **Allan Campbell,** Belfast – £5; **Anonymous,** London N – £5; **W. G. Young,** London SE – £5; **Mr. Bellot,** Merseyside – £5; **D. E. Malin,** Hertfordshire – £5; **A. Marshall,** London SE – £3; **J. Glass,** Middlesex – £3; **A libertarian Nationalist,** London SW – £3; **M. B. Stevens,** Surrey – £2; **F. Newcombe,** Cleveland – £2; **P. B. Stafford,** Cumbria – £2; **Paul Deacon,** Surrey – £1.50.



If you want to see *NT* every month
HELP TURN THE BLACK FIST WHITE!
Please do your bit to help.

Send all donations, big or small, to *Nationalism Today,* 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey, CRO 2QF.

I enclose a donation of £ .....

NAME .....

ADDRESS .....

.....

Please indicate if you do **NOT** want your name and town printed in acknowledgement in the next issue.

NT BANNED

W. H. SMITH'S have refused outright to distribute *Nationalism Today* through their shops. They turned down an application for their chain of shops to handle the magazine.

On the face of it, this does not seem in the least bit surprising. Surely we can't complain when leading capitalist formations like W. H. Smith's refuse to distribute our magazine, especially when *N.T.* has been so outspoken in its criticism of capitalism?

Perhaps not. But in that case why do W. H. Smith's handle *Marxism Today*? Isn't *Marxism Today* as avowedly anti-capitalist as *Nationalism Today*? Obviously not, because when it was pointed out that *Marxism Today* is being distributed nationally by them, a reply was received from W. H. Smith's Head Office in the City stating that, although they were refusing to handle *N.T.*, they had every intention of continuing to distribute our Marxist counterpart.

This further example of communist/capitalist collaboration only goes to further reinforce our claim that we and not the Marxists are the alternative to capitalism.

Our message may be banned from the shelves of chain-stores like W. H. Smith's, but no bans will stop our message from reaching more and more British people.

NT SALES LEAGUE



THE PICTURE above shows members of Newham National Front selling *Nationalism Today*.

Newham sell more copies of *N.T.* than any other Branch in the country. They manage to do this because they sell the magazine on the streets along with their supply of *NF News* and *Bulldog*.

As a result of their efforts they are top of the *Nationalism Today* Sales League, ordering a clear fifty copies more than Lambeth Branch, their nearest rivals.

The Top Ten Branches for ordering *Nationalism Today* are as follows:

1st Newham	6th Barking
2nd Lambeth	7th Havering
3rd Tower Hamlets	8th Hitchin
4th Leeds	9th Southwark
5th Wandsworth	10th Waltham Forest

BULK RATES

10 copies	£ 2.60 + 87p post
20 copies	£ 4.80 + £1.43p post
30 copies	£ 7.20 + £1.43p post
40 copies	£ 9.60 + £1.73p post
50 copies	£11.00 + £1.73p post
60 copies	£13.20 + £1.90p post
70 copies	£15.40 + £1.90p post
80 copies	£17.60 + £2.05p post
90 copies	£19.80 + £2.05p post
100 copies	£21.00 + £2.20p post
110 copies	£23.10 + £2.20p post
120 copies	£25.20 + £2.35p post
200-499 copies:	19p each)Postal rates
500 copies and over:	18p each)apply for details

NO, WE ARE NOT RIGHT-WINGERS!

A COMMON fallacy that we Racial Nationalists often encounter is the notion that we are some kind of rightwingers – albeit a little more drastic or extreme. Accordingly, whereas most rightwingers simply moan and wring their hands about conditions or otherwise speak in measured tones of eloquent protest, we Racial Nationalists are expected to behave a bit more recklessly in the service of the Cause.

The truth, however, is that we are not part of any right-wing movement, anymore than we are part of some left-wing cause.

Whereas the so-called Right and Left are nothing more than two sides of the same coin, Racial Nationalism is a different coin altogether. It is revolutionary and it is unique.

The role of the Right, on the other hand, is hopelessly reactionary. It functions in perfect tandem with every initiative taken by the Left. All the Reds have to do to get these myopic creatures to do something is to embrace the opposite. If they want them to jump off a cliff, all they have to do is say, "Don't jump!" – and away the turkeys go, gobbling off into the wild blue yonder.

Whether in matters of domestic policy or foreign affairs, the reaction is inevitably the same. "Pollution is good because the Socialist Workers Party are against it." "Job safety is bad, because the International Marxist Group are for it." "Khomeini is a Communist, because the Morning Star has denounced the Shah." "Corporate capitalism and the plastic society are a fore-taste of the Millenium itself, because the Reds are against free enterprise."

And so it goes. The same muddled reasoning applies to issues ranging from energy, public health and land use to the Soviet Union, China and the Middle East.

Actually, "rightwingism" isn't so much a coherent philosophy as it is a pattern of induced Pavlovian behaviour triggered by stimuli gratuitously supplied by the Left.

As Racial Nationalists and National Revolutionaries, we refuse to play this game.

We do not take our cue from what the Left does or does not do – let alone from what the Right does or doesn't do – but rather from a clear-cut world view which is based upon the immutable laws of Nature. And here we recognize first of all the values of Blood, Race and Social Justice and the necessity for their preservation at all costs.

This is the cornerstone of Racial Nationalism. And all considerations of good and bad, of right and wrong, must ultimately be decided from that point alone – and not by the actions or notions of either Right or Left.

The clucking and gobbling we reserve for others. **Our job is not to convert a reactionary Right Wing or a sterile Left Wing, but to build a new order by winning the hearts and minds of those who are alienated by both these factions of a decadent and dying old order.**

FIND OUT ABOUT THE NATIONAL FRONT

Send 50p for information pack to:

NATIONAL FRONT
50 PAWSONS ROAD
CROYDON
SURREY CRO 2QF

Printed and published by NT Press, London EC2